

FUND MANAGEMENT

YOUR GUIDE TO ACTIVE VS PASSIVE INVESTMENT APPROACHES



OVERVIEW & DEFINITION

The terms active and passive, used in relation to collective funds such as unit trusts or OEICs, describe the manager's approach to selecting the investments that make up a particular fund. An active manager will select investments based on their opinion of the prospects for the shares of particular companies. They might consider the management of a company, the sector that it's in, the impact of the economy on the company's prospects, the political backdrop, etc. Their objective is to outperform a given benchmark, such as e.g., the FTSE100, through their skill at identifying investments that will deliver this.

A passive approach to fund management, however, will simply replicate the make up of a given index. So, in the case of a FTSE 100 based passive fund, you might expect to see all 100 company shares that make up that index in your fund. In contrast to the active manager, the passive fund will only aim to deliver the return of that index, minus fees, not to outperform it. In addition, whilst active funds are generally bought and sold via the fund manager, passive funds may either be bought and sold in that way, or else traded on a stock exchange. With the first method, such passive funds are called Trackers and with the second method, they are known generally as Exchange Traded Funds (ETFs).

As a general rule of thumb, active managers charge more for their services, as they need to employ many resources in order to deliver their objective of outperforming a given index. In contrast, all passive managers need to do is replicate the constituents of a given index in the most efficient way as possible. This means that passive funds are often significantly cheaper than their active counterparts.



WHY THE DIFFERENCE IN THIS APPROACH?

It is widely accepted that relatively few active managers have been able to outperform the market over the longer term and equally as few of those can do so on a consistent basis. Evidence suggests that the higher costs associated with active funds tend to be one of the main reasons for this.

The chart below demonstrates average fund performance consistency through the percentage of funds outperforming in first year, second consecutive year and third consecutive year¹

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
Average	33.7%	15.3%	7.2%
Average Equity	34.9%	15.8%	7.4%
Average Fixed Income	31.4%	14.1%	6.7%

Passive investors are often believers in the efficient market hypothesis, which suggests that, as all information about stocks is freely available to everyone, stocks are always correctly priced, so there is no point in trying to be selective i.e. active, because you can't beat the market.

However, there are active managers who do manage to outperform the market and can dispute this argument, pointing to factors that can make markets inefficient, such as lack of research in certain market sectors or behavioural biases that can cause prices to swing erratically (such as market crashes), which creates opportunities through mispricing.

It should be pointed out, that whilst the majority of active managers don't outperform, those that do in any given year, can outperform significantly².

Aside from cost and performance considerations, investors in passive ETFs will point to the fact that these types of funds can be traded in real time on an exchange, whereas unit trusts and OEICs only trade at a single point and price during the day.

Whilst real time trading might be useful for an investor who is actively buying and selling funds, the advantages of this feature do not really apply to most private investors, who tend to be long term in their outlook.

Indeed, certain ETFs can trade at a wide bid/offer spread (the difference between the buying and selling price) during certain times of the day, so investors who are unaware of this fact can suffer a reduction in return if they trade at the wrong time, or in a fund with a permanently wide spread.

ACTIVE FUNDS AND MARKET RISK

A significant difference between active and passive funds is that active funds can reduce risk relative to their benchmark. So, for example, if the manager has a negative view on the market, they may choose to hold a higher proportion of lower risk stocks or cash.

Passive funds cannot do this. Likewise, if an active manager is feeling confident about the market's prospects, they may increase the risk compared to their benchmark. Again, passive funds cannot do this.

In these circumstances, an investor would be advised to measure the success of an active fund on a risk adjusted performance basis, not just the return versus the index.



THE CHANGING NATURE OF PASSIVE FUNDS

Historically, active managers might have delivered success because they like to target stocks in a particular segment of the market. This segment might be based on the size of the company, which is called market capitalization, or how the market currently views the stock, which is known as style e.g. value (cheap relative to the market).

These and other factors may be combined e.g. small capitalization and value. This could have given them the edge over other fund managers. However, in recent years, managers of passive ETFs have worked with index providers to target these areas of 'style' and offer them at a lower cost, thus removing another advantage of an active approach.

THE LIMITATIONS OF ETFS

If a fund is traded on an exchange, as it is with an ETF, the fund needs to be able to reflect the flows of money in and out of the fund on its portfolio i.e. be freely able to buy and sell the stocks in that portfolio.

Therefore, the underlying assets need to be liquid enough to reflect active buying and selling activity in the fund. As a result, there are certain asset classes which cannot be accessed through an ETF, which can be held by an active fund.

Physical property is a good example of this. If you imagine flows of £millions in and out on a minute by minute basis, it is impossible for a manager to buy and sell, say, entire office blocks with that frequency.

“ A significant difference between active and passive funds is that active funds can reduce risk relative to their benchmark. ”

WHICH SHOULD I CHOOSE – ACTIVE OR PASSIVE?

What the evidence suggests is that, while there are - some very good active managers out there, identifying those who can deliver outperformance on a consistent basis is difficult. Employing a specialist fund research team can help in this regard, particularly if you are looking to address risk management aspects of your portfolio.

Whilst having a wholly passive approach means that you do not need to worry about underperforming the market, it also doesn't give you any opportunity to outperform the market either. Active funds, on the other hand, do offer you this opportunity.

Evidence would also suggest that combining the approaches in your portfolio is the most optimal i.e., only using active funds where you have a strong conviction that they will outperform their benchmark and use passive funds for the remainder.

The use of active or passive is dependant on individual preference, experience and objectives, both strategies have a place in a client's investment strategy and merit to do so. Your Financial Adviser can suggest the most suitable course of action.

1. Source Lyxor, 2021
2. Source AJBell, 2021



Safehands Independent Financial Advisers Ltd

Tel: 01242 516784. Mobile: 07866 315795

Email: markmanning@safehandsifa.co.uk

www.safehandsifa.co.uk

Important Information

This is a marketing communication.

The contents featured in this publication is for your general information and use only and is not intended to address your particular requirements. Articles should not be relied upon in their entirety and shall not be deemed to be, or constitute, advice. No individual or company should act upon such information without receiving appropriate professional advice after a thorough examination of their particular situation. We cannot accept responsibility for any loss as a result of acts or omissions taken in respect of any articles.

Investments carry risk. The value of your investments (and income from them) can go down as well as up, and you may get back less than you invested. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future results. Investments should be considered over the longer term and should fit in with your overall attitude to risk and financial circumstances.

Safehands Independent Financial Advisers Ltd is an appointed representative of Sense Network which is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority. Registered Address: Registered office is at St George's House, 29 St George's Road, Cheltenham, Gloucestershire, GL50 3DU. Registered in England & Wales No.: 07757055